

Entrepreneurship – A powerful instrument in managing major factors of innovativeness

Mohammad Nazmi Newaz

Senior Management Counsellor, Bangladesh Institute of Management (BIM), Bangladesh. Previously, academic staff at the University of Newcastle, Australia. PhD (University of Newcastle, Australia), LL.M (Queensland University of Technology, Australia), MBA

PhD (University of Newcastle, Australia), LL.M (Queensland University of Technology, Australia), MBA (University of Dhaka, Bangladesh), BBA (University of Dhaka, Bangladesh)

Submitted: 01-08-2022

Revised: 02-08-2022

Accepted: 08-08-2022

ABSTRACT: Innovation and innovative capabilities are the must for the organizations to survive in the present complex and dynamic business environment. There are numerous forces that affect organizations in their innovation pursuits. Sometimes, many forces become very uncontrollable for the organizations and thereto, innovation and innovative performances can not reach the expectation. The top management of the organizations should have strong entrepreneurial roles to manage these factors and ensure a smooth path towards organization innovativeness. The study, based on literature, attempts to justify the entrepreneurial roles in managing the major factors of innovativeness.

KEY WORDS: Entrepreneurship, Innovativeness, Factors of Innovativeness, Organizational Proficiencies, HRM Functions, External Environment, Market Pressure and Competition, Culture.

I. INTRODUCTION

Current business environments are extremely dynamic on the one hand and on the other hand, worryingly complex for all industries. The services and utilities sectors are additionally severely affected due to environmental and climate changes along with increased demand and shifts in taste of customers.

New problems are constantly arising, which are pushing the utilities to maximize their capacities. Organizations are continuously struggling to be market leaders with new tactics/strategies for their optimum and viable professional activities. The two goals are differentiating the products and lowering the cost [1] which are attainable; either by superior efficacy in innovations (differentiations) or by inventions.

Different scholars have emphasized either innovation or invention, and have considered them as the main drivers in competitive edge and also a precondition for market leadership [2].

To achieve this strategic tool for competitiveness, organizations need to focus on their strategies relating to entrepreneurship and different factors of innovativeness [3]. But innovation or the capability of innovativeness is not very easy, it is a series of stages [4] that depends on various factors and skills . scholars across the world have been argued that to initiate innovation or pushing organizations towards innovativeness, entrepreneurship is very critical in different processes and factors of innovativeness [5], [6], [7], [8].

Therefore, in this context, a literaturebased study, examining the impact of entrepreneurship on the innovativeness, will very exciting and appropriate.

Entrepreneurship

Entrepreneurship plays a vital role both in democracy [9] and in driving economic development and distribution of benefits [10]. Simultaneously, effective entrepreneurship helps organizations in profit maximization and developing dynamism within their activities [11], [12].

Entrepreneurship has been identified as a tool for helping themselves (organizations) in their activities towards achieving goals [13], through developing visionary leadership for organizational excellence [14]. Furthermore, organizational learning capabilities are nourished and fostered



through entrepreneurship [<u>15</u>]. In this lieu, the knowledge management for initiating and developing innovative capabilities are highly impacted by entrepreneurship [<u>16</u>] through establishing institutional architecture by entrepreneurial framework [<u>17</u>]. Hence, it has been undoubtedly proven that entrepreneurship is a key for self-management and development, and as well for dynamism in knowledge management [<u>18</u>], [<u>19</u>].

So, there is no way to avoid entrepreneurship education in shaping, developing and fostering business performances [20].

On the other side, researchers claim that effective entrepreneurship enables organization in performing innovation activities, and often lead to verv critical innovations [21], [18], [22]. Entrepreneurship ensures sustainable business innovation and agility towards innovation adaptation[23], [24]. Previously, it has been hunted that innovation and/or innovative capabilities attaining contributes in competitive edge. Therefore, after а brief discussion on innovativeness and it factors, a detailed discussion will be presented to understand the impact on entrepreneurship on maior factors of innovativeness with the help of literature.

Innovativeness

In harmony with the innovation literature, the capabilities of the organizations that lead them towards innovation and/or invention of modified/developed/costproducts/services, or effective processes, can be described as innovativeness of the organizations. Such capacity is critical for both innovation and invention. From the view of competitive edge, the organizations with the ability to innovate, are likely to develop more competitive advantage in the market. Like other venture resources, innovativeness or organizational innovativeness is considered as a vital resource.

In defining the innovativeness in the organizations, the scholars [25], [26], [27], [28], [29], [30], [31]; have argued that innovativeness is the capability of the organization that develop and/establish the baseline and/or platform for innovations. Thus, innovations are the results of an organizational capacity, called innovativeness. This innovativeness, in most cases, refers to the organizational innovativeness, as it has developed as an organization's significant non-financial aim and as the central measure of organizational performance [32]. Furthermore, organizational innovativeness has become the central academic and research concept and practices of the managers

[<u>33</u>], with five broad dimensions; such as future orientation, proactiveness, openness, creativity, and risk-taking. Anticipating the future of the organization, they act as it is required now to face future challenges, to be open to new concepts and ideas, cultivating the scope and culture for creativity, and allowing employees in taking risk for innovation – all have the major impact on organizational innovativeness.

In recent decades, services and utilities organizations are identified as adding more than 70% value in the economies of OECD (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development) countries [34]. The threats of environmental and climate changes as well as the pressures of increasing population have made these sectors unstable, especially, in utilities, where environmental and climate change-related costs are huge. Therefore, dynamic innovativeness and cultivating new ideas and technologies may be most fruitful [35].

There are many factors that have positive impact on the organizational innovativeness; such as culture [36], human resources practices [37], and leadership styles [38]. Many scholars have identified different factors of organizational innovativeness, which are being described in detail in next section,

Major Factors of Innovativeness

In general the terms "factors of innovativeness" or "factors of organizational innovativeness" refer to those issues that have very direct impact on the organizational innovation performance [39]. They are those factors that enables organizations to be creative [40] and these factors must be cultivated properly through the organizational strategies, culture, structure, and different operations to ensure the innovative capabilities [41]. When these factors are nourished appropriately within the organizations, the organizations enjoy the competitive advantages [42] and growth in market share.

There are numerous forces that affect organizations when it comes to innovation, and these factors are both external and internal [43]. This research is not in the search of the factors of organizational innovativeness, rather the study attempts to identify the role of entrepreneurship in managing that major factors of innovativeness.

Literature finds numerous factors that compel and as well as enable organizational innovativeness. Organizations of all categories and in all sectors, focus on their innovation capabilities, i.e., innovativeness. Since this study is examining the impact of entrepreneurship on major factors of



innovativeness, it is necessary to accumulate the major factors.

The early scholars have identified the systematic literature review (SLR) is the science of reviewing the existing literature for summarizing the key factors and findings [44] and ensuring the best synthesisation [45]. The SLR is an effective tool to find out the most relevant literature for a specific study from millions of scholarly publications [46] that stands as a guide for the researchers [47]. The SLR has the capability to deal with large and big data sets [48] and offers analyses also.

In a research it has been that organizational proficiencies, HRM functions, external environment, market pressure and competition, and culture are the major factors of innovativeness [49]. This research has been carried through a proper systematic literature review.

Organizational Proficiencies

Organizational proficiencies refer to the capabilities of the organizations that enable organizations in performing [50] which often play the mediating roles organizational goals and performances. Organizational proficiencies offer through proper the solutions knowledge management for better performance [51]. proficiencies i.e., organizational numerous organizational abilities empower organizations towards innovativeness [52]. This is because such capabilities allow organizations to agility and in facilitating learning which in turn accelerate the organizational innovation capabilities for the competitiveness [53].

HRM Functions

Human resources management (HRM) is an organizational function that encompasses challenges and strategies regarding proper management of human resources employed in the organization [54]. Proper HRM should be aligned with the organizational strategies for improving the innovative performance in the organizations [55]. For innovation purpose, the main and first criterion is human knowledge [56], and nourishing such knowledge increases organizational innovative capabilities.

External Environment

External environmental issues, such as university education, health, religious affiliation, affect the organizational development and performance [57], which are essential to consider for innovation strategies [58]. Furthermore, since external environment is considered as the traditional and one of the primary forces to influence organizational activities [59], therefore, when the organizations think about the innovative activities, they must concentrate of the external environment as it is highly linked and related with the organization and its culture [60], [61].

Market Pressure and Competition

In responding to changing customers' requirements, market competition and uncertainty, the organizations are compelled to improve and innovate their service, and thereto, force the employees towards innovative activities [62]. Due to such market turbulence, organizations have to be innovative both in product and service delivery for their sustainability [63]. Thus, through service innovation and service excellence, organization can improve their image and as a consequence, share in the market [64].

Culture

Culture of an organization is highly affected by the national culture [65], and the culture refers to the organization's internal norms and practices [66] that has a crucial impact on organizational performance.

Discussing the Impact of Entrepreneurship on the Major Factors of Innovativeness

Entrepreneurships from the top level managers lead towards the change management [<u>67</u>], and the researchers argue that such change and the entrepreneurial roles are effective in innovative drives [<u>68</u>], [<u>69</u>], [<u>70</u>], [<u>71</u>], [<u>72</u>], [<u>73</u>], [<u>74</u>], [<u>75</u>], [<u>76</u>], [<u>77</u>].

Entrepreneurship impacts on Organizational Proficiencies

Entrepreneurial leadership improves organizational operations and performance [78]. Effective entrepreneurship guides organizations in overcoming organizational proficiencies in all aspects [79], even in managing other factors towards innovativeness [80], [81].

Organizational Resources [73], [82], Organizational Support [83], [84], [76], Capital Resources [85], [86], [76] which have been identified as critical forces for innovativeness, can be properly managed by efficient entrepreneurial decisions [87], [88], [89].

Thus, entrepreneurship manages the factors of organizational proficiencies for driving toward innovative activities and outcomes.



Entrepreneurship impacts on HRM Functions

Numerous researchers have claimed that the employees, i.e., human resources, are the key to organizational innovativeness because they produce the new ideas and work on them [90], [91], [92], [93], [70], [94], [95], [96], [97], [85], [75], [98], [99], [100], [101].

Problems relating HRM issue can be resolved through establishing motivational vision which can unite the employees in teams working towards innovativeness [102], [103]. Such motivational visions are only possible through entrepreneurship social [104], [105] and transformational leadership style [106], [107]. Transformational leadership and entrepreneurship both are found very closely related and interdependent on each other [108], [109], [110], [111], [112].

Entrepreneurship impacts on External Environment

Innovative solutions addressing increasing external environmental and climate change threats through strong internal research and development [113], [114], [115] will be very effective which requires the support from the top management [116], [117].

Therefore, again the entrepreneurial role of the top management becomes crucial in negotiating with the external entities [118], [119], [120]. Thus, when the top management will be able to manage the external forces regarding their innovative plans which are not harmful and risky at all, in any aspect, the influences from the external entities can be play the positive roles toward innovativeness.

Entrepreneurship impacts on Market Pressure and Competition

The literature finds that to maximize the benefits of innovativeness is comparatively easy if there is a strong entrepreneurial capacity at the top management in balancing "Market Pressure and Competition" through satisfying the requirements of the customers[121], [122], [123], [124] with ensuring customer satisfaction [125] and market sustainability [126].

Critical factors of Market Pressure and Competition, such as clients and manufacturers relationship [127], [128], market demands [96], [129], [130], competition level [130], [99], [131], [101] can easily be identified and shaped into innovative behaviour through different entrepreneurial initiatives from the top management..

Entrepreneurship impacts on Culture

Researchers have found a very strong relationship between entrepreneurship and culture [132], [133]. Entrepreneurship can manage culture for competitive advantage [134], improve education and learning culture [135], [136].

Essential cultural factors for innovativeness, e.g., innovation culture [74], [137] and culture for creativity [100], [137], [138] can be managed and properly managed by entrepreneurial culture [73], [99]. Effective entrepreneurship helps in developing organizational climate [139], [76] toward innovation endeavour [140], [141].

On the other hand, ideal entrepreneurs allow their competent employees more freedom [71], [142] and tolerate failures [143], [144] for innovative drives, and they often allow employees to take risks [144], [71].

II. CONCLUSION

There are numerous factors/forces that influence the organization towards innovation. After a wide literature review, Organizational Proficiencies, HRM Functions, External Environment, Market Pressure and Competition, and Culture have been acknowledged as the major forces for the innovative capabilities of the organizations [8].

Researchers have also endorsed that entrepreneurship is the key behind innovativeness [15], affecting the other factors of innovation to guide organizations toward improving innovative capabilities [145].

Discussion in the previous section has clearly proved that entrepreneurship can manage the major factors of organizational innovativeness. Thus, in the concluding remark, it can confidently state that entrepreneurship is the most powerful instrument in managing major factors of innovativeness.

REFERENCES

- Hill, C.W.L., G.R. Jones, and M.A. Schilling, Strategic Management: Theory. 11 ed. 2015, Canada: Cengage Learning.
- Egbu, C.O., Managing knowledge and [2]. intellectual capital for improved organizational innovations in the construction industry: an examination of critical success factors. Engineering, Architectural Construction and Management, 2004. 11(5): p. 15.
- [3]. Xiao, T., M. Makhija, and S. Karim, A knowledge recombination perspective of innovation: Review and new research directions. Journal of Management, 2022.
 48(6): p. 1724-1777.



- [4]. Rawlings, B.S., After a decade of tool innovation, what comes next? Child Development Perspectives, 2022. **16**(2): p. 118-124.
- [5]. Kiminami, A., Entrepreneurship and innovation in Japanese agriculture. Vol. 32. 2019: Springer.
- [6]. Escamilla-Fajardo, P., et al., Entrepreneurship and innovation in soccer: Web of science bibliometric analysis. Sustainability, 2020. 12(11): p. 4499.
- [7]. Jiang, X. and L. Fu, Effect entrepreneurship on innovation behavior and institutional environment: based on a comparative analysis. Food Science and Technology, 2021.
- [8]. ewaz, M.N., P. Datta, and R.M.K. Alam, Do the organizational proficiencies dominate in innovation and can manage the major factors of innovation? A Systematic Literature Review of Last 5 Decades. The Journal of Business and Retail Management Research, 2022: p. 60.
- [9]. Audretsch, D.B. and P. Moog, Democracy and entrepreneurship. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 2022. **46**(2): p. 368-392.
- [10]. Bruton, G., C. Sutter, and A.-K. Lenz, Economic inequality–Is entrepreneurship the cause or the solution? A review and research agenda for emerging economies. Journal of Business Venturing, 2021. 36(3): p. 106095.
- [11]. Biggeri, M., et al., Sustainable entrepreneurship: Good deeds, business, social and environmental responsibility in a market experiment. Sustainability, 2022. 14(6): p. 3577.
- [12]. Tsionas, M., P.C. Patel, and M.J. Guedes, Endogenous efficiency of the dynamic profit maximization in the intertemporal production models of venture behavior. International Journal of Production Economics, 2022. 246: p. 108411.
- [13]. Bergman, B.J. and J.S. McMullen, Helping entrepreneurs help themselves: A review and relational research agenda on entrepreneurial support organizations. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 2022. **46**(3): p. 688-728.
- [14]. Hijjawi, G., The effect of entrepreneurship on organizational excellence: The mediating role of visionary leadership. Management Science Letters, 2021. 11(1): p. 57-66.
- [15]. Makhloufi, L., et al., Impact of entrepreneurial orientation on innovation capability: The mediating role of absorptive

capability and organizational learning capabilities. Sustainability, 2021. **13**(10): p. 5399.

- [16]. Suchitra, K. and R. Gopinath, Impact of Knowledge Management Practice on Women Entrepreneur and Organizational Performance. 2021.
- [17]. Cunningham, J.A., E.E. Lehmann, and M. Menter, The organizational architecture of entrepreneurial universities across the stages of entrepreneurship: a conceptual framework. Small Business Economics, 2022. 59(1): p. 11-27.
- [18]. Kraus, S., et al., The role of innovation and knowledge for entrepreneurship and regional development. 2021, Taylor & Francis. p. 175-184.
- [19]. Shir, N. and C.D. Ryff, Entrepreneurship, self-organization, and eudaimonic wellbeing: A dynamic approach. Entrepreneurship theory and practice, 2021: p. 10422587211013798.
- [20]. Mahmood, R., et al., The impact of entrepreneur education on business performance. Asian Journal of University Education, 2021. 16(4): p. 171-180.
- [21]. Chung, D., H. Jung, and Y. Lee, Investigating the relationship of high-tech entrepreneurship and innovation efficacy: The moderating role of absorptive capacity. Technovation, 2022. 111: p. 102393.
- [22]. Aldawoodi, A.F.T., THE IMPACT OF ENTREPRENEURSHIP LEADERSHIP STYLES ON THE INNOVATION PROCESS: A STUDY ON A SAMPLE OF MOBILE PHONE COMPANIES IN THE HASHEMITE KINGDOM OF JORDAN. Academy of Entrepreneurship Journal, 2021. **27**(3): p. 1-12.
- [23]. Purwati, A., et al., The effect of innovation capability on business performance: The role of social capital and entrepreneurial leadership on SMEs in Indonesia. Accounting, 2021. 7(2): p. 323-330.
- [24]. Hanaysha, J.R., et al., Impact of innovation capabilities on business sustainability in small and medium enterprises. FIIB Business Review, 2022. 11(1): p. 67-78.
- [25]. Midgley, D.F. and G.R. Dowling, Innovativeness: The concept and its measurement. Journal of consumer research, 1978. 4(4): p. 229-242.
- [26]. Hirschman, E.C., Innovativeness, novelty seeking, and consumer creativity. Journal of consumer research, 1980. 7(3): p. 283-295.



- [27]. Venkatraman, M.P., The impact of innovativeness and innovation type on adoption. Journal of Retailing, 1991. 67(1): p. 51.
- [28]. Hult, G.T.M., R.F. Hurley, and G.A. Knight, Innovativeness: Its antecedents and impact on business performance. Industrial marketing management, 2004. 33(5): p. 429-438.
- [29]. Pullen, A., et al., SME network characteristics vs. product innovativeness: how to achieve high innovation performance. Creativity and Innovation Management, 2012. 21(2): p. 130-146.
- [30]. Carmona-Lavado, A., G. Cuevas-Rodríguez, and C. Cabello-Medina, Service innovativeness and innovation success in technology-based knowledge-intensive business services: an intellectual capital approach. Industry and Innovation, 2013. 20(2): p. 133-156.
- [31]. Choi, S. and J.-S. Choi, Dynamics of innovation in nonprofit organizations: The pathways from innovativeness to innovation outcome. Human Service Organizations: Management, Leadership & Governance, 2014. 38(4): p. 360-373.
- [32]. Moos, B., et al. Suggestions for measuring organizational innovativeness: A review. in 2010 43rd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences. 2010. IEEE.
- [33]. Ruvio, A.A., et al., Organizational innovativeness: construct development and cross- cultural validation. Journal of Product Innovation Management, 2014. **31**(5): p. 1004-1022.
- [34]. Sheehan, J., Understanding service sector innovation. Communications of the ACM, 2006. 49(7): p. 42-47.
- [35]. MacGillivray, B.H., et al., Benchmarking risk management within the international water utility sector. Part II: A survey of eight water utilities. Journal of Risk Research, 2007. **10**(1): p. 105-123.
- [36]. Yusof, N.A. and N.Z. Abidin, Does organizational culture influence the innovativeness of public-listed housing developers? American Journal of Applied Sciences, 2011. **8**(7): p. 724.
- [37]. Vanhala, M. and P. Ritala, HRM practices, impersonal trust and organizational innovativeness. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 2016.
- [38]. Overstreet, R.E., et al., Leadership style and organizational innovativeness drive motor carriers toward sustained performance. The

International Journal of Logistics Management, 2013.

- [39]. Lynch, P., M.M. Walsh, and D. Harrington, Defining and dimensionalizing organizational innovativeness. 2010.
- [40]. Wolniak, R. and M.E. Grebski, Innovativeness and creativity as factors in workforce development-perspective of psychology. Zeszyty Naukowe. Organizacja i Zarządzanie/Politechnika Śląska, 2018.
- [41]. Szczepańska-Woszczyna, K., Strategy, corporate culture, structure and operational processes as the context for the innovativeness of an organization. Foundations of Management, 2018. 10(1): p. 33-44.
- [42]. Celtekligil, K. and Z. Adiguzel, Analysis of the effect of innovation strategy and technological turbulence on competitive capabilities and organizational innovativeness in technology firms. Procedia Computer Science, 2019. **158**: p. 772-780.
- [43]. Antonelli, C., F. Crespi, and G. Scellato, Internal and external factors in innovation persistence. Economics of Innovation and New Technology, 2013. 22(3): p. 256-280.
- [44]. Mulrow, C.D., Systematic reviews: rationale for systematic reviews. Bmj, 1994.
 309(6954): p. 597-599.
- [45]. Cook, D.J., C.D. Mulrow, and R.B. Haynes, Systematic reviews: synthesis of best evidence for clinical decisions. Annals of internal medicine, 1997. 126(5): p. 376-380.
- [46]. Nightingale, A., A guide to systematic literature reviews. Surgery-Oxford International Edition, 2009. 27(9): p. 381-384.
- [47]. Okoli, C. and K. Schabram, A guide to conducting a systematic literature review of information systems research, in Sprouts: Working Papers on Information Systems, 10(26). <u>http://sprouts.aisnet.org/10-26</u>, 2010.
- [48]. Mikalef, P., et al., Big data analytics capabilities: a systematic literature review and research agenda. Information Systems and e-Business Management, 2018. **16**(3): p. 547-578.
- [49]. Newaz, M.N., Datta, P. and Alam, R.M.K.,, Do the organizational proficiencies dominate in innovation and can manage the major factors of innovation? A Systematic Literature Review of Last 5 Decades. Journal of Business and Retail Management Research, 2022. 16(2): p. 27.
- [50]. Rehman, S.-u., R. Mohamed, and H. Ayoup, The mediating role of organizational



capabilities between organizational performance and its determinants. Journal of Global Entrepreneurship Research, 2019. **9**(1): p. 1-23.

- [51]. Serrat, O., Knowledge solutions: Tools, methods, and approaches to drive organizational performance. 2017: Springer Nature.
- [52]. Zhang, M. and H. Merchant, A causal analysis of the role of institutions and organizational proficiencies on the innovation capability of Chinese SMEs. International Business Review, 2020. 29(2): p. 101638.
- [53]. Saha, N., et al. Organizational Agility and Organizational Learning: Do They Accelerate Organizational Innovation and Competency? in European Conference on Innovation and Entrepreneurship. 2020. Academic Conferences International Limited.
- [54]. Stewart, G.L. and K.G. Brown, Human resource management. 2019: John Wiley & Sons.
- [55]. Delery, J.E. and D. Roumpi, Strategic human resource management, human capital and competitive advantage: is the field going in circles? Human Resource Management Journal, 2017. **27**(1): p. 1-21.
- [56]. Kianto, A., J. Sáenz, and N. Aramburu, Knowledge-based human resource management practices, intellectual capital and innovation. Journal of Business Research, 2017. **81**: p. 11-20.
- [57]. Munro, M.M. and C. Belanger, Analyzing external environment factors affecting social enterprise development. Social Enterprise Journal, 2017.
- [58]. Ivančić, V., et al., Strategy implementation– external environment alignment. Management: journal of contemporary management issues, 2017. 22(Special Issue): p. 51-67.
- [59]. Chang, L., et al., External environment and internal state in relation to life-history behavioural profiles of adolescents in nine countries. Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 2019. 286(1917): p. 20192097.
- [60]. Wu, S.-M. and X.-H. Ding, Unpacking the relationship between external IT capability and open innovation performance: evidence from China. Business Process Management Journal, 2020.
- [61]. Hameed, W.U., Q.A. Nisar, and H.-C. Wu, Relationships between external knowledge, internal innovation, firms' open innovation

performance, service innovation and business performance in the Pakistani hotel industry. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 2021. **92**: p. 102745.

- [62]. Senbeto, D.L. and A.H. Hon, Market turbulence and service innovation in hospitality: examining the underlying mechanisms of employee and organizational resilience. The Service Industries Journal, 2020. 40(15-16): p. 1119-1139.
- [63]. Qiu, L., D. Hu, and Y. Wang, How do firms achieve sustainability through green innovation under external pressures of environmental regulation and market turbulence? Business Strategy and the Environment, 2020. 29(6): p. 2695-2714.
- [64]. Aladwan, S.A. and S.I. Alshami, The impact of service excellence and service innovation on organisational reputation: quantitative evidence from Jordanian public sector. The TQM Journal, 2021.
- [65]. Szydło, J. and J. Grześ-Bukłaho, Relations between National and Organisational Culture—Case Study. Sustainability, 2020. 12(4): p. 1522.
- [66]. Roscoe, S., et al., Green human resource management and the enablers of green organisational culture: Enhancing a firm's environmental performance for sustainable development. Business Strategy and the Environment, 2019. 28(5): p. 737-749.
- [67]. Wadhwani, R.D., et al., Context, time, and change: Historical approaches to entrepreneurship research. Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal, 2020. **14**(1): p. 3-19.
- [68]. Nijkamp, P. and A. Reggiani, Drivers of innovation: A comparative study on innovation in European cities by means of multi-criteria analysis. Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie, 2000. 91(3): p. 308-315.
- [69]. Cropley, D.H., The role of creativity as a driver of innovation. Management of Innovation and Technology, 2006. 2: p. 561-565.
- [70]. Zhang, J., Z. Liu, and J. Zheng, Key influencing factors of innovation activities in China's manufacturing enterprises: Evidence from Jiangsu Province. Frontiers of Business Research in China, 2009. 3(1): p. 145-169.
- [71]. Boso, N., J.W. Cadogan, and V.M. Story, Entrepreneurial orientation and market orientation as drivers of product innovation success: A study of exporters from a



developing economy. International Small Business Journal, 2013. **31**(1): p. 57-81.

- [72]. O'Brien, S., Innovation and its drivers in SMEs. Change Management, 2015. **14**(3-4).
- [73]. Fellnhofer, K., Drivers of innovation success in sustainable businesses. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2017. 167: p. 1534-1545.
- [74]. Zhu, L. and S. Cheung, Managing Organisational Innovation Capacity for Construction Innovations. WELCOME TO DELEGATES IRC 2017, 2017: p. 201.
- [75]. Kallmuenzer, A., Exploring drivers of innovation in hospitality family firms. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 2018(justaccepted): p. 00-00.
- [76]. Velev, M. and S. Veleva, Study of the Impact of Innovation Capacity Factors on the Activation of Company's Innovation Activity. Economic Alternatives, 2019(4): p. 560-570.
- [77]. Nevzorova, T. and E. Karakaya, Explaining the drivers of technological innovation systems: The case of biogas technologies in mature markets. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2020: p. 120819.
- [78]. Sawaean, F. and K. Ali, The impact of entrepreneurial leadership and learning orientation on organizational performance of SMEs: The mediating role of innovation capacity. Management Science Letters, 2020. 10(2): p. 369-380.
- [79]. Thottoli, M.M., Antecedent of lack of proficiency and the need for an automated financial plan for the course entrepreneurship creativity and innovation. Journal of Ethics in Entrepreneurship and Technology, 2021.
- [80]. Popescu, C.R.G., Approaches to Sustainable and Responsible Entrepreneurship: Creativity, Innovation, and Intellectual Capital as Drivers for Organization Performance, in Building an Entrepreneurial and Sustainable Society. 2020, IGI Global. p. 75-95.
- [81]. De Costa, P.I., J. Park, and L. Wee, Linguistic entrepreneurship as affective regime: Organizations, audit culture, and second/foreign language education policy. Language Policy, 2019. **18**(3): p. 387-406.
- [82]. Diaz-Delgado, M.F., et al., Detonating factors of collaborative innovation from the human capital management. Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy, 2019.

- [83]. Abdul Hamid, N. and A. Abd. Rahman. A systematic literature review on the success factor of innovation commercialization performance. in International Symposium on Technology Management and Emerging Technologies (ISTMET 2014). 2014. Bandung, Indonesia: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc.
- [84]. Narayanan, S. and M. Parvin Hosseini, Drivers of Innovation in the Malaysian services sector: An analysis based on firmlevel data. Institutions and Economies, 2014. 6(1): p. 95-118.
- [85]. Ozorhon, B. and K. Oral, Drivers of Innovation in Construction Projects. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 2016. 143(4).
- [86]. Arzhantsev, S. and T. Bondarenko, Types and factors of innovation processes affecting the effective development of the agricultural sector of Russia. Economy, Work, Management in Agriculture, 2019.
- [87]. Ahsan, M., S. Adomako, and K.F. Mole, Perceived institutional support and small venture performance: The mediating role of entrepreneurial persistence. International Small Business Journal, 2021. **39**(1): p. 18-39.
- [88]. Charoensukmongkol, P., Does entrepreneurs' improvisational behavior improve firm performance in time of crisis? Management Research Review, 2021.
- [89]. Kurpayanidi, K.I., Financial and economic mechanism and its role in the development of entrepreneurship. ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science, 2021. 1(93): p. 1.
- [90]. Seaden, G., et al., Strategic decisions and innovation in construction firms. Construction Management and Economics, 2003. 21(6): p. 603-612.
- [91]. Sexton, M. and P. Barrett, The role of technology transfer in innovation within small construction firms. Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, 2004. 11(5): p. 342-348.
- [92]. Funk, L. and A. Plünnecke, An international Comparison of Selected Innovation Drivers. CESifo DICE Report, 2005. 3(3): p. 43-52.
- [93]. Capitanio, F., A. Coppola, and S. Pascucci, Indications for drivers of innovation in the food sector. British Food Journal, 2009. 111(8): p. 820-838.
- [94]. Dachyar, M., M.S. Rusli, and T.Y.M. Zagloel, Studies on Major Factors of Innovation Systems for Telecommunication Company in Indonesia. International Journal



of Business and Management, 2013. 8(9): p. 34.

- [95]. Ozorhon, B., C. Abbott, and G. Aouad, Integration and Leadership as Enablers of Innovation in Construction: Case Study. Journal of Management in Engineering, 2014. **30**(2): p. 256-263.
- [96]. Xue, X., et al., Innovation in construction: A critical review and future research. International Journal of Innovation Science, 2014. 6(2): p. 111-126.
- [97]. Joppe, M., E. Brooker, and K. Thomas, Drivers of innovation in rural tourism: The role of good governance and engaged entrepreneurs. Journal of Rural and Community Development, 2015. **9**(4): p. 17-63.
- [98]. Divisekera, S. and V.K. Nguyen, Drivers of innovation in tourism: An econometric study. Tourism Economics, 2018. 24(8): p. 998-1014.
- [99]. Pikkemaat, B., M. Peters, and C.S. Chan, Needs, drivers and barriers of innovation: The case of an alpine community-model destination. Tourism Management Perspectives, 2018. 25: p. 53-63.
- [100]. Revilla, E. and B. Rodríguez-Prado, Bulding ambidexterity through creativity mechanisms: Contextual drivers of innovation success. Research Policy, 2018. 47(9): p. 1611-1625.
- [101]. Atiase, V.Y. and D.Y. Dzansi, Investigating the drivers of product innovation in emerging markets: The African perspective. Strategic Change, 2020. 29(1): p. 89-101.
- [102]. Faruq, U., B. Sujanto, and T. Abdullah, The Teamwork and Motivational Achievement's Effect on the Lecturer Organization's Commitment of UIN Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau. International Journal of Social Sciences, 2020. 3(1): p. 106-110.
- [103]. Atapattu, M.M. and T. Huybers, Motivational antecedents, employee engagement and knowledge management performance. Journal of Knowledge Management, 2021.
- [104]. Ahrari, S., et al., "Making a Difference... on My Own Terms": Motivational Factors of Youth Involvement in Social Entrepreneurship in Malaysia, in Societal Entrepreneurship and Competitiveness. 2019, Emerald Publishing Limited.
- [105]. Perusquia, J.M. and M. Ramirez, Motivational differences between countries that underline the intention of millennials

towards social entrepreneurship. Revista ESPACIOS, 2019. **40**(18).

- [106]. Wais, M.Q., Transformational leadership: A strategy towards staff motivation. i-Manager's Journal on Nursing, 2017. 7(1): p. 9.
- [107]. Andersen, L.B., et al., Leadership and motivation: A qualitative study of transformational leadership and public service motivation. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2018. 84(4): p. 675-691.
- [108]. Shafique, I. and M.N. Kalyar, Linking transformational leadership, absorptive capacity, and corporate entrepreneurship. Administrative Sciences, 2018. 8(2): p. 9.
- [109]. Boukamcha, F., The effect of transformational leadership on corporate entrepreneurship in Tunisian SMEs. Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 2019.
- [110]. Naderi, A., et al., The contributions of social entrepreneurship and transformational leadership to performance: Insights from rural tourism in Iran. International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy, 2019.
- [111]. Park, C.W. and G.L. Kang, A study on the impacts of entrepreneurship and transformational leadership on employment and startup awareness: Mediating effects of corona 19 social issue. Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Venturing and Entrepreneurship, 2020. 15(5): p. 51-63.
- [112]. Iqbal, S., et al., Linking entrepreneurial orientation with innovation performance in SMEs; the role of organizational commitment and transformational leadership using smart PLS-SEM. Sustainability, 2021. 13(8): p. 4361.
- [113]. Gkypali, A., D. Filiou, and K. Tsekouras, R&D collaborations: Is diversity enhancing innovation performance? Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2017. 118: p. 143-152.
- [114]. Zhang, G. and C. Tang, How could firm's internal R&D collaboration bring more innovation? Technological forecasting and social change, 2017. **125**: p. 299-308.
- [115]. Wang, N., M. Xiao, and I. Savin, Complementarity effect in the innovation strategy: internal R&D and acquisition of capital with embodied technology. The Journal of Technology Transfer, 2020: p. 1-24.
- [116]. Ahn, J.M., The hierarchical relationships between CEO characteristics, innovation



strategy and firm performance in open innovation. International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation Management, 2020. **24**(1): p. 31-52.

- [117]. Jaggia, S. and S. Thosar, CEO management style: does educational background play a role? Managerial Finance, 2021.
- [118]. Gómez- Haro, S., J.A. Aragón- Correa, and E. Cordón- Pozo, Differentiating the effects of the institutional environment on corporate entrepreneurship. Management Decision, 2011.
- [119]. Alaassar, A., A.-L. Mention, and T.H. Aas, Exploring how social interactions influence regulators and innovators: The case of regulatory sandboxes. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2020. 160: p. 120257.
- [120]. Grandy, J.B. and S.R. Hiatt, State agency discretion and entrepreneurship in regulated markets. Administrative Science Quarterly, 2020. 65(4): p. 1092-1131.
- [121]. Tajeddini, K., Effect of customer orientation and entrepreneurial orientation on innovativeness: Evidence from the hotel industry in Switzerland. Tourism management, 2010. **31**(2): p. 221-231.
- [122]. Tajeddini, K., U. Elg, and M. Trueman, Efficiency and effectiveness of small retailers: The role of customer and entrepreneurial orientation. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 2013. 20(5): p. 453-462.
- [123]. Eggers, F., et al., Implications of customer and entrepreneurial orientations for SME growth. Management decision, 2013.
- [124]. Jahanshahi, A.A., K. Nawaser, and A. Brem, The effects of customer capital on customer response speed and innovativeness: the mediating role of marketing capability. International Journal of Innovation Management, 2019. 23(06): p. 1950058.
- [125]. Malik, M.I. and R. Ahsan, Towards innovation, co-creation and customers' satisfaction: a banking sector perspective. Asia Pacific Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, 2019.
- [126]. Klimontowicz, M., The role of banks' innovativeness in building sustainable market efficiency: the case of Poland. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 2019. 7(1): p. 525.
- [127]. Blayse, A.M. and K. Manley, Key influences on construction innovation.

Construction Innovation, 2004. **4**(3): p. 143-154.

- [128]. Zizlavsky, O., Factors of an innovation potential development are known, but not always mastered,". Economics and management, 2011. 16(1).
- [129]. Bhuiyan, A.B., et al., The innovation drivers, strategies and performance of food processing SMEs in Malaysia. Geografia-Malaysian Journal of Society and Space, 2017. 12(2).
- [130]. Meng, X. and A. Brown, Innovation in construction firms of different sizes: drivers and strategies. Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, 2018.
- [131]. Beyina, Е., THE EXPLANATORY FACTORS OF INNOVATION IN THE SMES: THE STATE OF QUESTION IN THE FOOD PROCESSING INDUSTRY SECTOR IN CAMEROON; THEORETICAL AND MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE COMPETITIVE PRESSURE AND THE TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY ON THE DECISION TO INNOVATE SMES. Global Journal For Research Analysis, 2019. 8(4).
- [132]. Alrazehi, H.A.A.-W., et al., Proposed model for entrepreneurship, organizational culture and job satisfaction towards organizational performance in International Bank of Yemen. International Journal of Management and Human Science (IJMHS), 2021. 5(1): p. 1-9.
- [133]. Achim, M.V., S.N. Borlea, and V.L. Văidean, Culture, entrepreneurship and economic development. An empirical approach. Entrepreneurship Research Journal, 2021. **11**(1).
- [134]. Ha, J.-C., J.-W. Lee, and J.Y. Seong, Sustainable competitive advantage through entrepreneurship, market-oriented culture, and trust. Sustainability, 2021. **13**(7): p. 3986.
- [135]. Lyu, J., D.M. Shepherd, and K. Lee, Teaching entrepreneurship in China: culture matters. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research, 2021.
- [136]. Mukhtar, S., et al., Does entrepreneurship education and culture promote students' entrepreneurial intention? The mediating role of entrepreneurial mindset. Cogent Education, 2021. 8(1): p. 1918849.
- [137]. Hanifah, H., et al., Emanating the key factors of innovation performance: leveraging on the innovation culture among



SMEs in Malaysia. Journal of Asia Business Studies, 2019.

- [138]. Tajeddini, K. and E. Martin, The importance of human-related factors on service innovation and performance. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 2020. 85: p. 102431.
- [139]. Chen, X., J. Wei, and X. Huang. Success factors of innovation in creative industry in China: Case study on animation companies. in Management of Innovation and Technology (ICMIT), 2010 IEEE International Conference on. 2010. IEEE.
- [140]. Aristana, I.N., et al., Entrepreneurial leadership and employee creativity: Moderation and mediation perspectives. Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis, 2022. **25**(2): p. 199-236.
- [141]. Gao, Y., et al., A study on the cross level transformation from individual creativity to organizational creativity. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2021. 171: p. 120958.

- [142]. Lašáková, A., Ľ. Bajzíková, and I. Dedze, Barriers and drivers of innovation in higher education: Case study-based evidence across ten European universities. International Journal of Educational Development, 2017. 55: p. 69-79.
- [143]. Fortuin, F.T.J.M., M.H. Batterink, and S.W.F. Onno Omta, Key success factors of innovation in multinational agrifood prospector companies. International Food and Agribusiness Management Review, 2007. 10(4): p. 1-22.
- [144]. Bel, R., Leadership and innovation: Learning from the best. Global Business and Organizational Excellence, 2010. 29(2): p. 47-60.
- [145]. Azeem, M., et al., Expanding competitive advantage through organizational culture, knowledge sharing and organizational innovation. Technology in Society, 2021. 66: p. 101635.